

ON MEASURE ZERO SETS IN TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES

M. GRINČ

ABSTRACT. We present short proofs of the well known facts that there exists a probability measure vanishing on all the Aronszajn's zero sets and that nonempty open sets in separable \mathbf{F} -spaces are not Aronszajn's zero sets.

1. Let X be a real vector space. Following N. Aronszajn [1] we accept the following definitions.

If $x \in X$ and $a \in X \setminus \{0\}$ then we say that a set $A \subset x + \mathbb{R}a$ is of (Lebesgue) measure zero iff the set $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : x + ta \in A\}$ has one dimensional Lebesgue measure l_1 zero. For $a \in X \setminus \{0\}$ we put

$$\mathcal{N}_a := \{A \subset X : A \cap (x + \mathbb{R}a) \text{ is of measure zero for every } x \in X\},$$

and if (a_n) is a sequence of nonzero vectors of X then

$$\mathcal{N}(a_n) := \left\{ A \subset X : A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \text{ and } A_n \in \mathcal{N}_{a_n} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Let us note a simple consequence of the above definitions.

Proposition 1. *For every $a \in X \setminus \{0\}$ the family \mathcal{N}_a is a σ -ideal invariant under translations and homoteties, and for every sequence (a_n) of nonzero vectors of X the family $\mathcal{N}(a_n)$ is a σ -ideal invariant under translations and homoteties as well.*

2. Assume now that X is an \mathbf{F} -space (in the sense of W. Rudin [4, 1.8]) and let \mathcal{B} denote the family of all Borel subsets of X .

We start with the following simple fact (in which it is enough to assume that X is a topological vector space).

Received January 26, 1995.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 *Revision*). Primary 28A05, 28C15, 46G12.

Key words and phrases. σ -ideal, Aronszajn's zero sets, \mathbf{F} -space.

Proposition 2. *For every $a \in X \setminus \{0\}$ the σ -ideal \mathcal{N}_a does not contain any nonempty open subsets of X and there exists a probability measure μ on \mathcal{B} such that*

$$\mu(B) = 0 \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{N}_a$$

Proof. If $U \subset X$ is a neighbourhood of the origin then so is the set $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : ta \in U\}$ on the real line and, consequently, $U \notin \mathcal{N}_a$. Hence and from the fact that the family \mathcal{N}_a is invariant under translations it follows that \mathcal{N}_a contains no nonempty open subset of X . Moreover, it follows from the continuity of the function $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ given by $\varphi(t) = ta$ that the formula

$$\mu(B) = l_1(\varphi^{-1}(B))$$

defines a probability measure on \mathcal{B} . If $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{N}_a$ then, in particular, $l_1(\varphi^{-1}(B)) = 0$. Therefore $\mu(B) = 0$. \square

If (a_n) is a sequence of nonzero vectors of X then we put

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}}(a_n) := \left\{ B \subset X : B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \text{ and } B_n \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{N}_{a_n} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Using an idea from the proof of [3, Fact 3] we shall present now our proof of the following theorem (cf. [1, Chapter IV] by N. Aronszajn and [2] by V. I. Bogachev).

Theorem. *If (a_n) is a sequence of nonzero vectors of X then there exists a probability measure μ on \mathcal{B} such that*

$$\mu(B) = 0 \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}}(a_n).$$

Proof. For every positive integer n let us fix a closed and nondegenerate interval $I_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing zero and such that the set Z_n defined by

$$Z_n := \{ta_n : t \in I_n\}$$

has the diameter less than $\frac{1}{2^n}$, consider the function $\varphi_n: I_n \rightarrow X$ given by

$$\varphi_n(t) = ta_n$$

and a probability measure μ_n on \mathcal{B} defined by

$$\mu_n(B) = \frac{l_1(\varphi_n^{-1}(B))}{l_1(I_n)};$$

moreover, let ν_n denote the restriction of μ_n to the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of the (compact) space Z_n . Of course,

$$\mu_n(B) = \nu_n(B \cap Z_n) \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{B};$$

in particular, ν_n is a probability measure for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let ν be the product of the sequence of measures (ν_n) . Since for every $z \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$ converges and the function $S: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$$

is continuous, we see that the formula

$$\mu(B) = \nu(S^{-1}(B))$$

defines a probability measure on \mathcal{B} . For every positive integer n let ν_n denote the product of the sequence of measures $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1}, \nu_{n+1}, \dots)$, consider the function $S_n: \prod_{\nu=1, \nu \neq n}^{\infty} Z_\nu \rightarrow X$ given by

$$S_n(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}, \dots) = \sum_{\nu=1, \nu \neq n}^{\infty} z_\nu$$

and a probability measure μ_n defined on \mathcal{B} by

$$\mu_n(B) = \nu_n(S_n^{-1}(B)).$$

We shall prove that

$$\mu_n * \mu_n = \mu \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In fact, if $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then using the theorem on integrating by substitution and the theorem of Fubini we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu_n * \mu_n)(B) \\ &= \int_X \mu_n(B - x) \mu_n(dx) = \int_{S_n^{-1}(X)} \mu_n(B - S_n(z)) \nu_n(dz) \\ &= \int_{\prod_{\nu=1, \nu \neq n}^{\infty} Z_\nu} \nu_n((B - S_n(z)) \cap Z_n) \nu_n(dz) \\ &= \int_{\prod_{\nu=1, \nu \neq n}^{\infty} Z_\nu} \left[\int_{Z_n} \mathbf{1}_{(B - S_n(z)) \cap Z_n}(z_n) \nu_n(dz_n) \right] \nu_n(dz) \\ &= \int_{\prod_{\nu=1, \nu \neq n}^{\infty} Z_\nu} \left[\int_{Z_n} \mathbf{1}_{S^{-1}(B)}(z_1, z_2, \dots) \nu_n(dz_n) \right] \nu_n(d(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_{n+1}, \dots)) \\ &= \int_{\prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} Z_\nu} \mathbf{1}_{S^{-1}(B)}(z) \nu(dz) = \nu(S^{-1}(B)) = \mu(B). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{N}_{a_n}$ then $B - x \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{N}_{a_n}$ for every $x \in X$, whence $\mu_n(B - x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$ and, consequently,

$$\mu(B) = (\mu * \mu_n)(B) = \int_X \mu_n(B - x) \mu_n(dx) = 0.$$

This ends the proof. \square

The above theorem allows us to give a simple proof of the Aronszajn's theorem [1, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary. *Let X be a separable space. If (a_n) is a sequence of nonzero vectors of X then the family $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}}(a_n)$ does not contain any nonempty open subset of X .*

Proof. Suppose that a nonempty open set $U \subset X$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}}(a_n)$. If Q is a countable and dense subset of X then

$$X = U + Q \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}}(a_n),$$

which contradicts with the Theorem. \square

3. The following sets considered in [3] by B. R. Hunt, T. Sauer and J. A. Yorke are examples of **measure zero sets**.

Example 1, (cf. [3, Fact 8]). If X is an infinite dimensional \mathbf{F} -space then for every compact set $Z \subset X$ there exists a first category set $P \subset X$ such that $Z \in \mathcal{N}_a$ for every $a \in X \setminus P$.

Example 2, (cf. [3, Proposition 2]). The set

$$\left\{ (a_n) \in \ell^2 : \text{the series } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \text{ converges} \right\}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{(\frac{1}{n})}$.

Example 3, (cf. [3, Proposition 1]). The set

$$\left\{ f \in \mathbf{L}^1(0, 1) : \int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0 \right\}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}}(a_n)$ for every linearly dense sequence (a_n) of elements of $\mathbf{L}^1(0, 1)$.

References

1. Aronszajn N., *Differentiability of Lipschitzian mappings between Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **57** (1976), 147–190.
2. Bogachev V. I., *Three problems of Aronszajn in measure theory*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **18** (1984), 242–244; translation from Funkts. Anal. Prilozh., **18** (1984), 75–76.
3. Hunt B. R., Sauer T. and Yorke J. A., *Prevalence: a translation-invariant “almost every” on infinite-dimensional spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1992), 217–238; **28** (1993), 306–307.
4. Rudin W., *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1973, (Russian edition: Mir Publishers, 1975).

M. Grinč, Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Śląski, ul. Bankowa 14, PL-40-007 Katowice, Poland, *e-mail*: grinc@gate.math.us.edu.pl