

---

**Zbl 123.25503****Erdős, Pál***Remarks on number theory. III* (In Hungarian)**Mat. Lapok 13, 28-37 (1962). [0025-519X]**

Let  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ ,  $A(x) = \sum_{a_i \leq x} 1$  be an infinite sequence for which (1)  $a_k = a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_r}$ ,  $i < \dots < i_r < k$ , is not solvable. I prove that  $A(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  and that  $\sum \frac{1}{a_i} < 103$ . Further I show that  $A(x) = o(x)$  is best possible, but there always exists a sequence  $x_i \rightarrow \infty$  for which (2)  $A(x) < Cx_i^{(\sqrt{5}-1)/2}$ . On the other hand, there exists a sequence  $A$  for which (1) has no solutions, but  $A(x) > cx^{2/7}$  for every  $x$ . Perhaps (2) can be improved, but the exponent can certainly not be made smaller than  $2/7$ . Consider now the sequences  $A$  for which the equation (1')  $a_{r_1} + \dots + a_{r_{s_1}} = a_{l_1} + \dots + a_{l_{s_2}}$ ,  $r_1 < \dots < r_{s_1}$ ;  $l_1 < \dots < l_{s_2}$ ;  $s_1 \neq s_2$ , is not solvable for every choice of  $s_1 \neq s_2$ . There exists such a sequence with  $A(x) > c_x^\alpha$  for every  $x$  if  $\alpha$  is sufficiently small. On the other hand, I show by using Rényi's strengthening of the large sieve of Linnik that if  $A$  is such that (1') has no solutions, then  $A(x) < cx^{5/6}$  for every  $x$  if  $c$  is a sufficiently large absolute constant. Perhaps the exponent  $5/6$  can be improved, but I have not succeeded in doing this.

Classification:

11B83 Special sequences of integers and polynomials